

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Servants – Sri P.Bhadrachalam, the then JDA, Visakhapatnam now retired – Departmental Proceedings under Rule 9 of A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980 – Article of charges – Issued.

AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION (VIG.I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 1231

Dated: 03-11-2011.

Read:-

1. From the C&DA., Hyd.Lr.No.Vig.II(5)206/2011, dated 7-9-2011.
2. Govt. Memo.No.4349/Vig.I-1/2011-1, dated 17-10-2011.
3. From the C&DA, Hyd. Lr.No. Vig.II(5)206/2011, dated 28-10-2011.
4. G.O.Ms.No. 259, Agrl. & Coopn. (Vig.I) Dept., dated 03-11-2011.
5. Govt. Memo.No.4349/Vig.I-1/2011-3, dated 03-11-2011

-00-

ORDER :-

In pursuance of the sanction accorded by the Government under sub-clause (i) of clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of Rule 9 of the A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980 for initiating Departmental Proceedings against Sri P.Bhadrachalam, the then JDA, Visakhapatnam now retired Vide reference read above, it is proposed to hold an inquiry against Sri P.Bhadrachalam, the then JDA, Visakhapatnam now retired in accordance with procedure laid down in Rule 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991.

2. The substance of the imputations of misconduct or misbehaviour in respect of which the inquiry is proposed to be held is set out in the enclosed statement of Articles of Charges (Annexure-I). A list of witnesses by whom, and a list of documents by which the articles of charges are proposed to be sustained are enclosed (Annexure-II and III).
3. Sri P.Bhadrachalam, the then JDA, Visakhapatnam now retired is directed to submit within 10 days of the receipt of this G.O. a written statement of his defence and also to state whether he desires to be heard in person.
4. He is informed that an Inquiry will be held only in respect of those articles of charge as are not admitted. He should therefore, specifically admit or deny the article of charge.
5. Sri P.Bhadrachalam, the then JDA, Visakhapatnam now retired is further informed that if he does not submit his written statement of defence on or before the date specified in para-3 above, or does not appear in person before the Inquiry Authority or otherwise fails or refuses to comply with the provisions of Rule 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991 or the orders/directions issued in pursuance of the said rules, the inquiring authority may hold the inquiry against him ex parte.
6. The receipt of this G.O. shall be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

V. NAGI REDDY,
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

Sri P.Bhadrachalam, the then JDA, Visakhapatnam
now retired (through the C&DA, Hyd)

Copy to

The C&DA, Hyd., with a request to serve the order on the
C.O., and return the served copy with dated acknowledgement.
SC/Sf.

// FORWARDED BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE-I

Statement of article of charge framed against Sri P.Bhadrachalam, the then JDA, Visakhapatnam now retired.

Articles of charge I: That the said_Sri P.Bhadrachalam, while working as JDA, Visakhapatnam district has failed to react to the situation and no efforts and attempts were made thoroughly and effectively to bring back the farmers beneficiaries lists from MAO/Thasildar etc, due to the lenient and weak administration of Sri P.Bhadrachalam, JDA. The list of identified beneficiaries in affected mandals during 2008 cyclone occurred in the month of September, 2008 in V.Madugula mandal were not submitted to the Commissionerate of Agriculture which led to stalling of the distribution of compensations meant for the farmers who lost their crops in cyclone which attracts, violation of Rule 3 of A.P.C.S. (Conduct) Rules, 1964 and liable for taking disciplinary action under Rule 20 of APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991.

Basis of the charge: The C&DA's office vide Memo. No. NC.Cell(3)222/2009, dated 20-11-2010 instructed the JDA, Visakhapatnam to submit the beneficiary list of V.Madugula Mandal, but Sri P.Bhadrachalam, the then JDA, Visakhapatnam failed to submit the same and he proposed action against the MAO., V.Madugula for his failure in the matter leisurely which is not correct. As a district Joint Director of Agriculture he has failed to exercise his powers against the Mandal Agricultural Officer who is his subordinate.

He failed to submit the beneficiaries lists to the Commissionerate of Agriculture which led the poor innocent cyclone affected farmers to face the chastisement though Government sanctioned compensations and they were not in a position to receive the financial benefit because of the officials negligence and inaction.

Hence the charge.

**V. NAGI REDDY,
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE -II

List of documents by which the article of charge framed against Sri P.Bhadrachalam, the then JDA, Visakhapatnam now retired are proposed to be sustained.

1. Preliminary enquiry report submitted by Smt. V.V.Vijayalaxmi, Addl. Director of Agriculture, office of the C&DA., A.P. Hyderabad.

**V. NAGI REDDY,
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE -III

List of witnesses by whom the article of charge framed against Sri P.Bhadrachalam, the then JDA, Visakhapatnam now retired are proposed to be sustained.

---NIL---

**V. NAGI REDDY,
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

SECTION OFFICER